Flash Vacuum Pyrolysis of 1,2-Benzoisothiazole 1,1-Dioxides. Benzoxazole and Benzonitrile Formation

By RUDOLPH A. ABRAMOVITCH*† and SHIGEO WAKE

(Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama, University, Alabama 35486)

Summary Flash vacuum pyrolysis of 3-aryl-1,2-benzoisothiazole 1,1-dioxides gives mainly 2-arylbenzoxazoles and some benzonitriles, while 3-alkyl-1,2-benzoisothiazole 1,1-dioxides yield principally o-cyanophenol; the 3-tbutyl compound gave both benzonitrile and o-cyanophenol by preliminary fragmentation to simpler benzoisothiazole 1,1-dioxides.

THE flash vacuum pyrolysis (FVP) of 4-phenyl-1,2,3-benzotriazine gives the interesting compound 2-phenylbenzazete.¹ The mass spectral fragmentation of the readily available dioxides (1) suggested that 2-substituted benzazetes were important fragments formed in some cases.² Since some similarities have been found between FVP and mass spectral fragmentations,³ we studied the FVP of (1).

The dioxide (1a) was stable at 650 °C but underwent FVP at 850 °C (N₂ flow, pressure 10—15 mmHg during pyrolysis) to give (2a) (8%) and (3a) (31.7%) which was identical with an authentic sample.⁴ No 2-phenylbenzazete was trapped on the cold finger kept at liquid nitrogen temperature. The dioxide (1b) similarly gave (2b) (6%) and (3b) (49.4%).

In contrast, the FVP of (1c) gave (2c) (54%) but no (3c). The FVP of authentic (3c) at 850 °C led to recovery of starting material (89%) [(2c) was not detected] so that (3c)would have been detected readily had it been formed from (1c).

(1)(2) (3) a; R = Pha; R = Ha: R = Phb; $R = p - MeC_6H_L$ b; $R = p - MeC_6H_{\ell}$ b; R = p - Mec; R = Mec; R = 0-OH c; R = Me d; R = Buⁿ d; R = Bu^t e; R = Bu^t $e; R = -CH = CH_2$

The formation of (3a, b) may involve an aziridine intermediate similar to that proposed for the thermal rearrangement of 3,5-diphenylisoxazole to 2,5-diphenyloxazole⁵ which would also explain the absence of any 1,2-benzisoxazole in the thermolyses of (1a, b). The formation of (2a, b) from (1a, b) could be explained in a number of ways, one of which is fragmentation to SO₂, an aryne, and (2a, b), but products derived from the putative aryne were not observed.



† Present address: Department of Chemistry and Geology, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29631.

The FVP of (1d) gave (1c) (4.2%) and (2c) (37.5%). Compound (1c) could arise by a McLafferty-type rearrangement while (2c) could come either from the (1c) initially formed or directly from (1d). In an attempt to prevent possible hydrogen-abstraction from the α -position of the side-chain, the FVP of (1e)[†] at 850 °C was studied. No benzoxazole derivatives were detected in the dark tarry products but (2a) (17.1%) and (2c) (5.2%) were obtained by preparative t.l.c. Authentic $(3d)^6$ gave $(3e)^7$ (33.8%)together with unchanged (3d) (60.3%) on FVP at 850 °C but no (2a) or (2c). A clue concerning the pathway for the decomposition of (1e) is provided by an analysis of its fragmentation pattern on high resolution mass spectroscopy which indicated the formation of the cations of (1c),

[‡] M.p. 123-124°; gives expected analytical and spectral data.

¹ M. Adger, C. W. Rees, and R. C. Storr, *I.C.S. Perkin I*, 1975, 45.

 ² R. A. Abramovitch, E. M. Smith, M. Humber, B. Purtschert, P. C. Srinivasan, and G. M. Singer, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1974, 413.
³ D. C. DeJongh and R. Y. Van Fossen, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 1129; D. C. DeJongh and G. N. Evenson, *ibid.*, p. 2152; S. Meyerson and E. K. Fields, Chem. Comm., 1966, 275; E. K. Fields and S. Meyerson, in 'Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry,' ed. V. Gold, And S. Meyerson, in 'Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry,' ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry, 'ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry,' ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry,' ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry, 'ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry,' ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry, 'ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry,' ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry, 'ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry,'ed. V. Gold, New Physical Organic Chemistry, 'ed. V Academic Press, New York, 1968, Vol. 6, Ch. 1.
⁴ A. H. Blatt and L. A. Russell, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1936, 58, 1903.
⁵ G. L. Aldous, J. H. Bowie, and M. J. Thompson, *J.C.S. Perkin I*, 1976, 16
⁶ S. Skraup, *Annalen*, 1919, 419, 1.
⁷ G. B. Bachman and L. V. Heisey, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1949, 71, 1985.

(1f; $R = Pr^{i}$), and (1f): the former two would lead to (2c), while (1g) would yield (2a) [the origin of the benzene ring is necessarily different in this reaction than in the formation of (2a) from (1a)].



We thank the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health for support.

(Received, 30th May 1977; Com. 531.)